

The supremum of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with a given p -kernel type

Shushi Harashita

June 25, 2009

Abstract

In this paper we show that there exists the supremum of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with a given p -kernel type, and provide an algorithm determining it.

1 Introduction

We are concerned with estimating the isogeny type (=Newton polygon, cf. [10]) of a p -divisible group X from its p -kernel $X[p]$. In this paper we give an optimal estimation.

We fix once for all, non-negative integers c and d with $r := c + d > 0$. Let $W (= W_r)$ be the Weyl group of the general linear group GL_r . In the usual sense, we identify W and $\text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, r\})$. Let $s_i \in W$ be the simple reflection $(i, i + 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$. Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{r-1}\}$ and set $J := S \setminus \{s_d\}$. Let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by elements of J . We denote by ${}^J W$ the set of (J, \emptyset) -reduced elements of W (cf. [1], Chap. IV, Ex. §1, 3), which are representatives of $W_J \backslash W$. A classification theory of BT_1 's by Kraft, Oort, Moonen and Wedhorn says that the set of the isomorphism classes of BT_1 's with tangent-dimension d and length r is bijective to the set ${}^J W$. Note that ${}^J W$ has a natural ordering \subset introduced and investigated by Wedhorn [21] (see §5 for a short review).

Let w be any element of ${}^J W$. In Corollary 2.2, we show that there exists the supremum $\xi(w)$ of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with p -kernel type w :

- every p -divisible group whose p -kernel is of type w has Newton polygon $\prec \xi(w)$;
- there exists a p -divisible group X such that $X[p]$ is of type w and the Newton polygon of X equals $\xi(w)$.

The following theorem gives us a combinatorial algorithm determining $\xi(w)$, see Remark 7.1. Let $\mu(\zeta) \in {}^J W$ denote the type of the p -kernel of the minimal p -divisible group $H(\zeta)$ (cf. [14] and also a review [4], §3).

Theorem 1.1. $\xi(w)$ is the biggest one of Newton polygons ζ such that $\mu(\zeta) \subset w$.

This is an unpolarized analogue of [6], Corollary II. For a more effective algorithm determining the first/last slope of $\xi(w)$, see [3], Theorem 4.1 for the polarized case and [4], Corollary 1.3 for the unpolarized case. Recall that in the polarized case the existence of the supremum $\xi(w)$ follows from the irreducibility of Ekedahl-Oort strata on the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties ([2], Theorem 11.5). An obstruction in the unpolarized case has been the absence of a good moduli space like \mathcal{A}_g . However using Vasiiu's \mathbb{T}_m -action instead, we have (Lemma 2.1) that there exists an irreducible catalogue of p -divisible groups with a fixed p -kernel type; this clearly shows the existence of $\xi(w)$. Then Theorem 1.1 can be shown by a similar argument as in [6] (which is relatively easier

than the polarized case). Finally we mention a different approach announced by Viehmann [20], who seems to have generalized our results in terms of the loop groups of split reductive groups, making use of results on affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties.

Terminology

We naturally identify the category of affine schemes with the opposite category to the category of commutative rings. We fix once for all a rational prime p . In this paper we freely use a part of Zink's result [22], Theorem 9, which says that for a commutative ring R of finite type over a field of characteristic p , there exists a categorical equivalence from the category of p -divisible groups over R to that of nilpotent displays over R , where we follow the terminology of [9] for displays and nilpotent displays.

2 A catalogue of p -divisible groups with a given type

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Let (P, Q, F, \dot{F}) be a display over k , and $P = L \oplus T$ be a normal decomposition ([22], Introduction). Let $G = \mathrm{GL}(P)$ be the general linear group over $W(k)$ of degree $r = c + d$. Let H be the paraholic subgroup of G stabilizing Q , which is a connected smooth affine group scheme over $W(k)$. Let \mathcal{D}_m and \mathcal{H}_m be connected smooth affine group schemes over k such that $\mathcal{D}_m(R) = G(W_m(R))$ and $\mathcal{H}_m(R) = H(W_m(R))$ respectively, see [18], 2.1.4 for more details. For any truncated Barsotti-Tate groups of level m with codimension c and dimension d , its Dieudonné module is written as $(P/p^m P, gF, Vg^{-1})$ for some $g \in \mathcal{D}_m$. Vasiu introduced an action:

$$\mathbb{T}_m : \mathcal{H}_m \times_k \mathcal{D}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_m, \quad (1)$$

and showed in [18], 2.2.2 that the set of \mathbb{T}_m -orbits are naturally bijective to the set of isomorphism classes of truncated Barsotti-Tate groups of level m over k with codimension c and dimension d . Let $\mathbf{BT}_m(k)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of truncated Barsotti-Tate groups of level m over k with codimension c and dimension d . We have

Lemma 2.1. *For any $u \in \mathbf{BT}_m(k)$, there exists an irreducible catalogue of p -divisible groups with p^m -kernel type u , i.e., there exists a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ of p -divisible groups such that*

- (1) *for any geometric point $s \in S$, the p^m -kernel of the fiber \mathcal{X}_s is of type u ;*
- (2) *For any p -divisible group X with p^m -kernel type u , there exists a geometric point $s \in S$ such that $X \simeq \mathcal{X}_s$;*
- (3) *S is irreducible and of finite type over k .*

Proof. It suffices to show the case that u has no étale part, since every (truncated) Barsotti-Tate group over k is the direct sum of its local part and its étale part and the decomposition is compatible with truncations. Let N be an integer $\geq m$ so that $X[p^N] \simeq Y[p^N]$ implies $X \simeq Y$ for any p -divisible groups X and Y over k (cf. [15], 1.7 and [19]). Let π be the natural map $\mathcal{D}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m$, and let τ be a section of $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_N$. Let \mathbb{O}_u be the \mathbb{T}_m -orbit associated to u . Since \mathcal{H}_m is irreducible, \mathbb{O}_u is irreducible. Since π is smooth with connected fibers, $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{O}_u)$ is also irreducible. Let S be the image of $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{O}_u)$ by τ . Then S is irreducible and of finite type over k . By [22], Theorem 9, we have a p -divisible groups \mathcal{X} over S . Clearly \mathcal{X} satisfies the required properties. \square

Corollary 2.2. *There exists the supremum of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with a given p^m -kernel type.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be the family as in the lemma above. Let η be the generic point of S . It follows from Grothendieck and Katz ([7], Th. 2.3.1 on p. 143) that the Newton polygon of \mathcal{X}_η is the supremum of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with a given p^m -kernel type. \square

3 F -zips

Let S be a scheme of characteristic p . Let σ denote the absolute Frobenius on S . For any \mathcal{O}_S -module M we write $M^{(p)} = \mathcal{O}_S \otimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{O}_S} M$. Recall the definition ([12], (1.5)) of F -zip in a particular case.

Definition 3.1. An F -zip over S is a quintuple $Z = (N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ consisting of locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module N and \mathcal{O}_S -submodules C, D of N which are locally direct summands of N , and σ -linear homomorphisms $\varphi : N/C \rightarrow D$ and $\dot{\varphi} : C \rightarrow N/D$ whose \mathcal{O}_S -linearizations $\varphi^\sharp : (N/C)^{(p)} \rightarrow D$ and $\dot{\varphi}^\sharp : C^{(p)} \rightarrow N/D$ are isomorphisms. If S is connected, we define the *height* of Z to be the rank of N and the *type* of Z to be a map from $\{0, 1\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ sending 0 to $\text{rk } D$ and 1 to $\text{rk } C$; we will simply write the type as $(\text{rk } D, \text{rk } C)$.

If S is the spectrum of a perfect field K , then the (covariant) Dieudonné functor \mathbb{D} makes an equivalence from the category of BT_1 's over K to that of F -zips over K . The F -zip $(N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ associated to a BT_1 -group G is given by $N = \mathbb{D}(G)$ with $C = VN$ and $D = FN$, and φ and $\dot{\varphi}^{-1}$ are naturally induced by F and V respectively.

As shown by Kraft, Oort, Moonen and Wedhorn, there exists a bijection from $\mathbf{BT}_1(k)$ to ${}^J\mathbf{W}$ for any algebraically closed field k of characteristic p (this statement is due to [12]; also see [8], [11] and [16]). This classification is based on the fact that for any BT_1 -group G over k , there uniquely exists $w \in {}^J\mathbf{W}$ such that G is isomorphic to G_w defined below. The notion of final type is useful. To a $w \in {}^J\mathbf{W}$ we associate a pair (B, δ) , called a *final type*, cf. [4], Definition 2.6, where B is a totally ordered set $\{b_1 < \dots < b_r\}$ and δ is a map $B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined by $\delta(b_i) = 1 \Leftrightarrow w(i) \leq d$. There uniquely exists an automorphism $\pi = \pi_\delta$ of B such that $\pi(b') > \pi(b) \Leftrightarrow \delta(b') > \delta(b)$ for any $b' < b$. We define G_w so that its F -zip $Z_w = (N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ is given by $N = \sum_{b \in B} kb$ (i.e., the k -vector space with basis indexed by B) and $C = \sum_{\delta(b)=1} kb$, and $D = \sum_{\delta(b)=0} k\pi(b)$ and $\varphi, \dot{\varphi}$ are defined by $\varphi(b) = \pi(b)$ for b with $\delta(b) = 0$ and $\dot{\varphi}(b) = \pi(b)$ for b with $\delta(b) = 1$.

4 Homomorphisms of F -zips

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . In this section every scheme will be over k . We first review a description of homomorphisms of F -zips for the reader's convenience (cf. [13], §2 and [11], §4 and also see [4], § 4.3), and show some facts used later on.

Let w_1 and w_2 be the types of Z_1 and Z_2 respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = (B_1, \delta_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = (B_2, \delta_2)$ be their final types and set $\pi_1 = \pi_{\delta_1}$ and $\pi_2 = \pi_{\delta_2}$. A *finite slice* ω is a subset of $B_1 \times B_2$ of the form $\omega = \{(\pi_1^i(s_1), \pi_2^i(s_2)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ with $|\omega| = \ell$ for $s_1 \in B_1$ and $s_2 \in B_2$ satisfying **(a)** $\delta_1(s_1) = 1$ and $\delta_2(s_2) = 0$, **(b)** $\delta_1(\pi_1^i(s_1)) = \delta_2(\pi_2^i(s_2))$ for all $1 \leq i < \ell$ and **(c)** $\delta_1(\pi_1^\ell(s_1)) = 0$ and $\delta_2(\pi_2^\ell(s_2)) = 1$. We denote by $\Omega_o = \Omega_o(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ the set of finite slices of \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 . An *infinite slice* ω is a subset of $B_1 \times B_2$ of the form $\omega = \{(\pi_1^i(s_1), \pi_2^i(s_2)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ with $|\omega| = \ell$ for $s_1 \in B_1$ and $s_2 \in B_2$ satisfying **(a)** $s_1 = \pi_1^\ell(s_1)$ and $s_2 = \pi_2^\ell(s_2)$, **(b)** $\delta_1(\pi_1^i(s_1)) = \delta_2(\pi_2^i(s_2))$ for all $1 \leq i < \ell$. We denote by $\Omega_\infty = \Omega_\infty(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ the set of infinite slices of \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 . Set $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) := \Omega_o \sqcup \Omega_\infty$. For each slice ω , we define a group scheme \mathbb{K}_ω to be the additive group \mathbb{G}_a if $\omega \in \Omega_o$ and to be $\text{Ker}(F^{|\omega|} - \text{id} : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a)$ if $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$. Let S be a k -scheme. Let $\omega = \{(\pi_1^i(s_1), \pi_2^i(s_2)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ be a slice with $|\omega| = \ell$. For an element $r \in \omega$, we denote by $\varepsilon(r) (= \varepsilon_\omega(r))$ the integer ε with $0 \leq \varepsilon < \ell$ satisfying $r = (\pi_1^{\varepsilon+1}(s_1), \pi_2^{\varepsilon+1}(s_2))$. For $a \in \mathbb{K}_\omega(S)$, we define a map

$$\text{st}_{\omega, a} : B_1 \times B_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}_\omega(S) \quad (2)$$

by sending $r \in \omega$ to $a^{p^{\varepsilon(r)}}$ and $r \notin \omega$ to 0. The functor, from the category of k -schemes to the category of commutative groups, sending S to $\text{Hom}_S(Z_{1,S}, Z_{2,S})$ is represented by a group scheme $\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2)$; moreover there is an isomorphism as group schemes:

$$\Lambda : \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{K}_\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2). \quad (3)$$

Indeed we write $B_* = \{b_1^{(*)} < \dots < b_{r_*}^{(*)}\}$ and also write $Z_* = (N_*, C_*, D_*, \varphi_*, \dot{\varphi}_*)$ with $N_* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_*} kb_i^{(*)}$. Let S be any k -scheme. An \mathcal{O}_S -homomorphism $\mu : N_{1,S} \rightarrow N_{2,S}$, say $\mu(b_i^{(1)}) = \sum_j r_{ij} b_j^{(2)}$ with $r_{ij} \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ gives an element of $\text{Hom}_S(Z_{1,S}, Z_{2,S})$ if and only if r_{ij} is of the form $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \text{st}_{\omega,a}(b_{ij})$ for a certain $a \in \mathbb{K}_\omega(S)$, where $b_{ij} = (b_i^{(1)}, b_j^{(2)}) \in B_1 \times B_2$. From now on we identify $\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2)$ with $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{K}_\omega$. The connected component of zero in a commutative group scheme G will be denoted by G_o . Then $\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2)_o$ is the product of \mathbb{K}_ω for $\omega \in \Omega_o$. We write $\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2)_\infty$ for $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_\infty} \mathbb{K}_\omega$.

It is straightforward to prove

Lemma 4.1. *Let Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 be F -zips over k . The composition map*

$$\text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_2) \times \text{Hom}(Z_2, Z_3) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Z_1, Z_3)$$

sends the pair of (ω_1, a_1) and (ω_2, a_2) (i.e., $\omega_i \in \Omega(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_{i+1})$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{K}_{\omega_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$) to $\sum_{\tilde{\omega}} (\omega, a_1^e a_2^f)$, where the sum is over $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \times \pi_3$ -orbits $\tilde{\omega}$ in $\omega_1 \times_{B_2} \omega_2$ and $\omega = \text{pr}_{13}(\tilde{\omega})$ and e is the minimal element of $\varepsilon_{\omega_1}(\text{pr}_{12}(\tilde{\omega}))$ and f is the minimal element of $\varepsilon_{\omega_2}(\text{pr}_{23}(\tilde{\omega}))$. Here we denote by pr_{ij} the projections $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_3 \rightarrow B_i \times B_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

The next lemma shows that the ring scheme $\text{End}(Z)_o$ consists of nilpotent endomorphisms.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\omega \in \Omega_o(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$. Let (b, b') be an element of ω . Then we have $b > b'$.*

Proof. By the definition of finite slice, $\nu(b) := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(\pi^{-l}(b))2^{-l}$ is greater than $\nu(b') := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(\pi^{-l}(b'))2^{-l}$. Then [5], Proposition 4.7 shows $b > b'$. \square

Finally we look at the action of $\text{End}(Z)_o$ on $\text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$. Let $\Omega^i(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ be the subset of $\Omega(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ consisting of $\omega \in \Omega(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ with $\text{pr}(\omega) \subset \{b_i, \dots, b_r\}$, where pr is the projection $B \times B_1 \rightarrow B$. We define a subgroup scheme $\text{Fil}^i \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$ of $\text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$ by

$$\text{Fil}^i \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega^i(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)} \mathbb{K}_\omega. \quad (4)$$

From the lemmas above, we have

Corollary 4.3. *The composition map induces*

$$\text{End}(Z)_o \times \text{Fil}^i \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1) \longrightarrow \text{Fil}^{i+1} \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1).$$

5 Specialization of F -zips

We recall Wedhorn's results in [21].

Definition 5.1. Let w and w' be elements of ${}^J W$. We say $w \subset w'$ if there exists a family $Z \rightarrow S$ of F -zips over an irreducible scheme S such that the isomorphism type of a special fiber is w and that of the generic fiber is w' .

Let $x = w_0^J : W \rightarrow W$ be the map sending i to $i+c$ if $i \leq d$ and i to $i-d$ if $i > d$. Define $\delta : W \rightarrow W$ by $\delta(u) = x \cdot u \cdot x^{-1}$.

Theorem 5.2 ([21]). *Let $w, w' \in {}^J W$. We have $w \subset w'$ if and only if there exists $u \in W_J$ such that $u^{-1}w\delta(u)$ is less than or equal to w' with respect to the Bruhat order.*

6 Lifting of F -zips

Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic p . Let F and V denote the Frobenius and Verschiebung on $W(R)$. Write $I_R := {}^V W(R)$. Let $M = (P, Q, F, \dot{F})$ be a display over R . One can associate to M an F -zip $M/I_R M$, which is defined as follows. Let $P = L \oplus T$ be a normal decomposition of P with $Q = L \oplus I_R T$ (cf. [22], Introduction). Write $M/I_R M = (N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$. Then we define $N = P/I_R P$ and $C = Q/I_R P \simeq L/I_R L$, and D is the submodule of N generated by the image of $F : T \rightarrow P \rightarrow N$, and φ and $\dot{\varphi}$ are canonically induced by F and \dot{F} respectively.

Lemma 6.1. *Let Z be an F -zip over S . Let s be any closed point of S . Let M be a display over s . There is an open affine subscheme $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ of S with $s \in U$ and a display \mathcal{M} over R such that $\mathcal{M}/I_R \mathcal{M} \simeq Z_R$ and $\mathcal{M}_s \simeq M$.*

Proof. Write $Z = (N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$. Let U be an affine open subscheme of S containing s over which C and D are direct summands of N , say $N = C \oplus E$, and C, D and E are free. Write $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ and $s = \text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{m})$. We replace S by U . We have an F -linear homomorphism

$$\phi : C \oplus E \xrightarrow{\sim} C \oplus N/C \xrightarrow{\dot{\varphi} \oplus \varphi} N/D \oplus D \xrightarrow{\sim} N.$$

Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{T} be free $W(R)$ -modules such that $\mathcal{L}/I_R \mathcal{L} \simeq C$ and $\mathcal{T}/I_R \mathcal{T} \simeq E$. Put $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L} \oplus I_R \mathcal{T}$. Let $M = (P, Q, F, \dot{F})$ and $L \oplus T$ a normal decomposition of P , and let Φ_0 be $\dot{F} \oplus F : L \oplus T \rightarrow P$ obtained in [22], Lemma 9; one can identify L and T with $\mathcal{L}/W(\mathfrak{m})\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{T}/W(\mathfrak{m})\mathcal{T}$ respectively.

Since the canonical map from $\text{GL}_r(W(R))$ to the fiber product of $\text{GL}_r(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(R/\mathfrak{m})$ and $\text{GL}_r(W(R/\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is clearly surjective, there exists an F -linear homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

such that $(\Phi \bmod I_R) = \phi$ and $(\Phi \bmod W(\mathfrak{m})) = \Phi_0$. Set $\mathcal{F} = \Phi \circ (V 1 \oplus \text{id}) : \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and define $\dot{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{L} \oplus I_R \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by sending $l + {}^V w t$ to $\Phi(l) + w \Phi(t)$ for every $l \in \mathcal{L}, t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $w \in W(R)$. Then we have a display $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \dot{\mathcal{F}})$, which satisfies the required properties. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let w and w' be elements of ${}^J W$. If $w \subset w'$, then we have $\xi(w) \prec \xi(w')$.*

Proof. Assume $w \subset w'$, i.e., there exists an F -zip Z over an irreducible scheme S such that the type of the fiber of the generic point η is w' and the type of the fiber of a special point s is w . By Corollary 2.2, there exists a display M over s such that the Newton polygon of M is $\xi(w)$. Applying Lemma 6.1 to Z and M , there exist an open affine subscheme $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ of S containing s and a display \mathcal{M} over R such that $\mathcal{M}/I_R \mathcal{M} \simeq Z_R$ and $\mathcal{M}_s \simeq M$. It follows from Grothendieck-Katz ([7], Th. 2.3.1 on p. 143) that $\xi(w)$ is less than or equal to the Newton polygon, say ζ , of \mathcal{M}_η . By the definition of $\xi(w')$, we have $\zeta \prec \xi(w')$. \square

7 A reduction of the problem

Let w be any element of ${}^J W$. Let $\xi(w)$ be the Newton polygon introduced in §1. Its existence is showed in Corollary 2.2. The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.1:

$$\xi(w) = \max_{\prec} \{ \zeta \mid \mu(\zeta) \subset w \}, \quad (5)$$

where ζ is over Newton polygons $\sum (m_i, n_i)$ with $\sum m_i = d$ and $\sum n_i = c$.

Remark 7.1. This gives, thanks to Theorem 5.2, a purely combinatorial algorithm determining $\xi(w)$ for a given w . See [5], Corollary 4.8 for a way to compute $\mu(\zeta)$.

We first prove that Theorem 1.1 follows from the next proposition. The subsequent sections are devoted to the proof of this proposition.

Proposition 7.2. *Assume that w is not minimal. Then there exists a non-constant family of isogenies of p -divisible groups*

$$H(\xi(w))_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \quad (6)$$

over S such that the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{X}_s[p]$ is w for every geometric point s of S .

Proof of (Proposition 7.2 \Rightarrow Theorem 1.1). We first claim that Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to

$$\mu(\xi(w)) \subset w. \quad (7)$$

Clearly Theorem 1.1 implies (7). Suppose (7). Put $\Xi = \{\zeta \mid \mu(\zeta) \subset w\}$. We want to show that $\xi(w)$ is the biggest element of Ξ . Clearly (7) says $\xi(w) \in \Xi$. Let ζ be any element of Ξ . Then we have $\xi(\mu(\zeta)) \prec \xi(w)$ by Corollary 6.2. Note that we have $\xi(\mu(\zeta)) = \zeta$ by [14], (1.2) Theorem. Thus we have $\zeta \prec \xi(w)$.

Let us prove (7) under the assumption that Proposition 7.2 holds. We first consider the case that w is minimal, say $w = \mu(\zeta)$ the type of $H(\zeta)[p]$. Then we have $\xi(w) = \zeta$ by [14] (1.2), and therefore we have $\mu(\xi(w)) = w$; hence (7) holds in this case. Assume that w is not minimal. Let \mathcal{M} be the moduli space of quasi-isogenies $H(\xi(w)) \rightarrow Y$ of p -divisible groups, see [17], Chapter 2. Let \mathcal{I} be an irreducible component of \mathcal{M}_{red} containing the generic point of the family (6). Note that \mathcal{I} is projective ([17], Proposition 2.32). Let $\mathcal{S}_w(\mathcal{I})$ be the locally closed subvariety consisting of isogenies $H(\xi(w)) \rightarrow X$ where $X[p]$ is of type w . It is known that $\mathcal{S}_w(\mathcal{I})$ is quasi-affine (cf. [18], 1.2 (g)). By the assumption, we have $\dim \mathcal{S}_w(\mathcal{I}) \geq 1$. Hence there exists $w' \in {}^J W$ such that $w' \subsetneq w$ and $\xi(w') = \xi(w)$. This shows in particular that the final type v with $\xi(v) = \xi(w)$ which is “minimal w.r.t. \subset ” is minimal. We use induction on w with respect to \subset . Now we assume $\mu(\xi(w')) \subset w'$. Then we have $\mu(\xi(w)) = \mu(\xi(w')) \subset w' \subset w$. \square

8 Extensions by a minimal p -divisible group

Let ξ be a Newton polygon. Let $\varrho = (m_1, n_1)$ be a segment of ξ , i.e., $m_1/(m_1 + n_1)$ is a slope of ξ and $\gcd(m_1, n_1) = 1$. Let ξ' be the Newton polygon such that $\xi = \xi' + \varrho$. Let $Z_1 = Z(\varrho)_S$, where $Z(\varrho)$ is the F -zip of $H(\varrho)[p]$ over k . Let

$$0 \longrightarrow Z' \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{f} Z_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

be a short exact sequence of F -zips over a reduced k -scheme S . Write $Z = (N, C, D, \varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ and $Z_1 = (N_1, C_1, D_1, \varphi_1, \dot{\varphi}_1)$ and so on. That f is surjective means that $f : N \rightarrow N_1$ and $f : C \rightarrow C_1$ are surjective, and also the injectivity is the dual notion of this surjectivity. Let $M' = (P', Q', F', \dot{F}')$ be any display lifting Z' with an isogeny $\rho' : M(\xi')_S \rightarrow M'$, where $M(\xi')$ is the the display of $H(\xi')$. Let $P' = L' \oplus T'$ be a normal decomposition.

Proposition 8.1. *For any closed point $s \in S$, there exist an open affine subscheme U of S with $s \in U$ and a finite surjective morphism $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow U$ such that there exist a display \mathcal{M} over R with an isogeny $\rho : M(\xi)_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (M_1)_R := M(\varrho)_R$ with kernel M'_R and an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{M}/I_R \mathcal{M} \rightarrow Z_R$ such that we have the commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M(\xi')_R & \longrightarrow & M(\xi)_R & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & M(\varrho)_R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \rho' \downarrow & & \rho \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_R & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (M_1)_R & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M'_R/I_R M'_R & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}/I_R \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & (M_1)_R/I_R(M_1)_R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \theta \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel & & (10) \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Z'_R & \longrightarrow & Z_R & \xrightarrow{f_R} & (Z_1)_R & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Proof. Let $u = \min\{m_1, n_1\}$. Recall [4], Lemma 3.3 that the Dieudonné module $M(\varrho)$ is generated over the Dieudonné ring by X_i ($i \in \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}$) and all relations are generated by $F^{\alpha_i} X_i - V^{\beta_{i+1}} X_{i+1} = 0$ for some non-negative integers α_i, β_i . Put $x_i := V^{\beta_i} X_i$.

Let s be any closed point of S . Let $U = \text{Spec}(R)$ be an affine open subscheme of S containing s . We may replace S by U . We choose a lift $\bar{Y}_i \in N$ of $\bar{X}_i \in N_1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}$. Let ψ be the composition of $N \rightarrow N/D$ and $(\dot{\varphi}^\sharp)^{-1} : N/D \rightarrow C^{(p)}$. After replacing R by its finite cover, we can find $\bar{y}_{i,j} \in C$ lifting $V^j \bar{X}_i$ for $0 \leq j \leq \beta_i$ such that the composition $\psi^{(p^{j-1})} \circ \dots \circ \psi^{(p)} \circ \psi$ sends \bar{Y}_i to $1 \otimes \bar{y}_{i,j} \in R \otimes_{F^j, R} C$. We put $\bar{y}_i := \bar{y}_{i, \beta_i}$. After replacing R by its open affine subscheme, we can find a section of $N \rightarrow N/D$, defining a lift $\tilde{\varphi} : C \rightarrow N$ of $\dot{\varphi} : C \rightarrow N/D$, such that $\tilde{\varphi}^{\beta_i - j}(\bar{y}_i) = \bar{y}_{i,j}$. It follows from the exact sequence (8) that N is generated by elements of N' and $\tilde{\varphi}^s \bar{y}_i$ ($0 \leq s < \beta_i$) and $\varphi^r \tilde{\varphi}^{\beta_i} \bar{y}_i$ ($0 \leq r < \alpha_i$) with relations

$$\varphi^{\alpha_i} \tilde{\varphi}^{\beta_i} \bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_{i+1} = \bar{v}_i \quad (11)$$

for some $\bar{v}_i \in N'$, where C is generated over $W(R)$ by elements of C' and $\tilde{\varphi}^s \bar{y}_i$ ($0 \leq s < \beta_i$), and D is generated over $W(R)$ by elements of D' and $\varphi^r \tilde{\varphi}^{\beta_i} \bar{y}_i$ ($1 \leq r \leq \alpha_i$).

Put $W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes W(R)$. Write $\xi' = \sum_{l=2}^t (m_l, n_l)$. Then the isogeny ρ' induces an isomorphism

$$W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \otimes M' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{l=2}^t W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \otimes M((m_l, n_l)). \quad (12)$$

Let $e_l \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \otimes M'$ be the highest element of $M((m_l, n_l))$, see [4], Section 3.1. Recall that the ring of endomorphisms of H_{m_l, n_l} is described as $E_l := W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{m_l+n_l}})[\theta_l]/(\theta_l^{m_l+n_l} - p)$ for a uniformizer θ_l of $\text{End}(H_{m_l, n_l})$. Let $E_l(R)$ be the $W(R)$ -module $W(R) \otimes E_l$ and set $E_{l, \mathbb{Q}}(R) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes E_l(R)$. We extend the action of the Frobenius σ on $W(R)$ to that on $E_{l, \mathbb{Q}}(R)$ by the rule $\theta_l^\sigma = \theta_l$. Note the $W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ -homomorphism

$$E_{l, \mathbb{Q}}(R) \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \otimes M((m_l, n_l)) \quad (13)$$

defined by sending $f(\theta_l)$ to $f(\theta_l)e_l$ is isomorphic.

We have to define $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \dot{\mathcal{F}})$. Note that \mathcal{P} should have a normal decomposition $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T}$. We will define \mathcal{L} to be the $W(R)$ -submodule of $W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R) \otimes (P_1 \oplus P')$ generated by elements of L'_R and $F^r \dot{F}^{\beta_i} y_i$ ($0 \leq r < \alpha_i$) and \mathcal{T} will be defined to be the $W(R)$ -submodule of $(P_1 \oplus P') \otimes W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ generated by elements of T'_R and $\dot{F}^s y_i$ ($0 \leq s < \beta_i$) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $y_i \in (P_1 \oplus P') \otimes W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ is of the form:

$$y_i = x_i + \sum_{l=2}^t a_{il} e_l \quad (14)$$

for some $a_{il} \in E_{l, \mathbb{Q}}(R)$, which will be chosen later so that \mathcal{M} has the required properties. Here \mathcal{M} is defined by $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L} \oplus I_R \mathcal{T}$ with \mathcal{F} and $\dot{\mathcal{F}}$ naturally extending F and \dot{F} on $M(\xi)_R$. Since M'_R contains $M(\xi')_R$, it suffices to find $a_{il} \in E_{l, \mathbb{Q}}(R)$ modulo $I_{R, \mu} E_l(R)$ for a sufficiently large natural number μ ($\geq \max\{m_i \beta_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}\}$).

Let $v_i \in P'$ be a lift of \bar{v}_i . We define $b_{il} \in E_{l,\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ by $\sum_{l=2}^t b_{il} e_l = v_i$. It suffices to show that there exists a solution $\{a_{il}\}$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}$, $2 \leq l \leq t$) satisfying

$$F^{\alpha_i} \dot{F}^{\beta_i} y_i - y_{i+1} \equiv \sum_{l=2}^t b_{il} e_l \pmod{I_{R,\mu} M(\xi')_R}. \quad (15)$$

Comparing the coefficients of e_l of the both sides of (15), we obtain

$$a_{i,l}^{\sigma_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \theta_l^{n_i \alpha_i - m_i \beta_i} - a_{i+1,l} \equiv b_{il} \pmod{I_{R,\mu} E_l(R)}. \quad (16)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}$. Since l is the same in each equation, it suffices to solve the simultaneous equations for each l . Writing a_i , b_i , n , m and θ for a_{il} , b_{il} , n_l , m_l and θ_l respectively, we have

$$a_1^{\sigma \sum_{i=1}^u (\alpha_i + \beta_i)} \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^u (n \alpha_i - m \beta_i)} - a_1 \equiv r \pmod{I_{R,\mu} E_l(R)} \quad (17)$$

for some $r \in E_{l,\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. It suffices to show that this has a solution $a_1 \in E_{l,\mathbb{Q}}(R)$ for a finite cover $\text{Spec}(R)$ of S ; then we get a required solution $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^u$ from (16).

Write $z := a_1$ and $\varrho := \sigma \sum_{i=1}^u (\alpha_i + \beta_i)$. Note $\varrho \neq 1$ by $\alpha_i, \beta_i > 0$. We also put $\epsilon := \sum_{i=1}^u (n \alpha_i - m \beta_i)$. Then (17) is written as $z^{\varrho} \theta^{\epsilon} - z \equiv r \pmod{I_{R,\mu} E_l(R)}$. If $\epsilon > 0$, we have a solution $z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-r)^{\ell} \theta^{\ell \epsilon}$. Also if $\epsilon < 0$, let c be a sufficient large integer such that $\theta^{-c\epsilon} \in I_{R,\mu} E_l(R)$, and we replace R so that $R^{p^c} = R$; then we have a solution $z = \sum_{\ell=1}^{c-1} r^{\varrho - \ell} \theta^{-\ell \epsilon}$. Finally we consider the case $\epsilon = 0$. Write $z = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-1} z_i \theta^i$ and $r = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-1} r_i \theta^i$ with $z_i, r_i \in W_{\mathbb{Q}}(R)$. It suffices to solve $z_i^{\varrho} - z_i \equiv r_i \pmod{I_{R,\mu}}$ for each $0 \leq i < m+n$. Let ν_i be the biggest non-negative integer ν such that $r_i \in p^{\nu} W(R)$. We replace $\text{Spec}(R)$ by its finite (purely inseparable) cover so that we have $R^{p^{-\nu}} = R$. There exist elements t_j of R for all integers $j \geq \nu_i$ such that $z_i = \sum_{j=\nu_i}^{\infty} V^{j\nu} [t_j]$ is a solution. Indeed, putting $z_{ij} := \sum_{j' < j} V^{j'} [t_{j'}]$, we can find t_j , successively so that $z_{ij}^{\varrho} - z_{ij} \equiv r_i \pmod{I_{R,j}}$. Let $j \geq \nu_i$ and suppose that we have already got such $t_{j'}$ for $j' < j$. Since $\varrho \neq 1$, there exists a solution $t_j \in R$ of the Artin-Schreier equation $t_j^{\varrho} - t_j = (V^{-j\nu} (r_i - z_{ij}^{\varrho} + z_{ij})) \pmod{I_R}$. Then clearly $z_i := \sum_{j=\nu_i}^{\mu-1} V^{j\nu} [t_j]$ is a solution of $z_i^{\varrho} - z_i \equiv r_i \pmod{I_{R,\mu}}$. \square

9 Proof of Proposition 7.2

Let $w \in {}^J W$. Let (m_1, n_1) be the first segment of $\xi(w)$. By the existence of $\xi(w)$, there exists a p -divisible group X over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p such that $X[p]$ is of type w and the Newton polygon of X is $\xi(w)$. Write $M = \mathbb{D}(X)$. Choose an embedding $\iota : M \rightarrow M(\xi(w))$ and let $j : M(\xi(w)) \rightarrow M_{m_1, n_1}$ be the natural projection. Put $M_1 = j \circ \iota(M)$. Let $f_0 : X \rightarrow X_1$ be the homomorphism of p -divisible groups corresponding to $M \rightarrow M_1$. Let X'_0 be the kernel of f_0 . Note X'_0 is a p -divisible group. Thus we have an exact sequence of p -divisible groups

$$0 \longrightarrow X'_0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \longrightarrow 0. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 9.1. X_1 is minimal, i.e., $X_1 \simeq H_{m_1, n_1}$.

Proof. Recall [4], Corollary 5.4, whose dual is as follows. Let λ_v be the optimal lower bound of the first Newton slopes of p -divisible groups with p -kernel type v for each $v \in {}^J W$; then we have

$$\lambda_v = \min\{m/(m+n) \mid G_{v,\Omega} \xrightarrow{\exists} H_{m,n}[p]_{\Omega} \text{ for some alg. closed field } \Omega\}. \quad (19)$$

Note that λ_v is equal to the first slope of $\xi(v)$.

Let w and w_1 be the final types of $X[p]$ and $X_1[p]$ respectively. Since $X[p] \rightarrow X_1[p]$, i.e., $G_{w,k} \rightarrow G_{w_1,k}$, we have $\lambda_w \leq \lambda_{w_1}$ by (19). By the construction of X_1 , the (first) Newton slope of X_1 is λ_{w_1} ; hence we have $\lambda_w \geq \lambda_{w_1}$. Thus $\lambda_w = \lambda_{w_1}$. Then (19) implies that there exists a surjective homomorphism $H_{m_1,n_1}[p]_\Omega \rightarrow G_{w_1,\Omega}$ for some $\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$. This is an isomorphism, since $H_{m_1,n_1}[p]$ and G_{w_1} have the same rank ($= m_1 + n_1$). \square

We use induction on the rank of w to prove Proposition 7.2. Assume that w is not minimal. It suffices to show the case that

$$(*) \quad G_w \text{ has no direct factor which is isomorphic to } H_{m_1,n_1}[p].$$

Indeed if $G_w = G_v \oplus H_{m_1,n_1}[p]$, then v is not minimal and our problem can be reduced to the case v . Hence we assume $(*)$ from now on. Let Z and Z_1 be F -zips of $X[p]$ and $X_1[p]$ respectively. Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_1 be the final types of Z and Z_1 respectively. Now $(*)$ implies that $\Omega_\infty(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1) = \emptyset$. Consider the space $\Sigma := \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$, which is isomorphic to $\prod_{\omega \in \Omega_o(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)} \mathbb{K}_\omega$; hence Σ is irreducible. Let f be the universal homomorphism $Z_\Sigma \rightarrow (Z_1)_\Sigma$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $T \rightarrow \Sigma$ be any dominant morphism of k -schemes. Then f_T is not “constant up to $\text{Aut}(Z_T)$ ”. Here we say that f_T is constant up to $\text{Aut}(Z_T)$ if there exists a section $x = \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow T$ such that $f_T = (f_x)_T \circ \kappa$ for an automorphism κ of Z_T .*

Proof. Let $x = \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow T$ be any section and κ any automorphism of Z_T . Let i be the largest integer such that $\text{Fil}^i \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1) = \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$. Since $\Omega(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ consists of finite slices, we have $\dim \text{Fil}^{i+1} \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1) < \dim \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$. We write $\kappa = \kappa_o + \kappa_\infty$ with $\kappa_o \in \text{End}(Z)_o(T)$ and $\kappa_\infty \in \text{End}(Z)_\infty(T)$. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that $(f_x)_T \circ \kappa$ is in $\text{Fil}^{i+1} \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)(T) + (f_x)_T \circ \kappa_\infty$. Since $\text{End}(Z)_\infty$ is discrete, κ_∞ factors through $\text{Spec}(k)$. Hence the dimension of the scheme-theoretic image of $(f_x)_T \circ \kappa : T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$ is less than or equal to $\dim \text{Fil}^{i+1} \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$. On the other hand, the morphism $f_T : T \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Z, Z_1)$ is dominant. Hence we have $f_T \neq (f_x)_T \circ \kappa$. \square

Let η denote the generic point of Σ and let w' be the type of the kernel of f_η . Let U be the open subvariety of Σ consisting of $u \in U$ such that f_u is surjective and the kernel of f_u is of type w' . Choose a finite surjective morphism $S \rightarrow U$ which trivializes $Z' := \ker \rho_U$, i.e., $Z'_S \simeq (Z_{w'})_S$.

$$0 \longrightarrow (Z_{w'})_S \longrightarrow Z_S \xrightarrow{f_S} (Z_1)_S \longrightarrow 0. \quad (20)$$

By Corollary 2.2, there exists a display M' over k such that $M'/IM' \simeq Z_{w'}$ and the Newton polygon of M' is $\xi(w')$. Choose an isogeny $M(\xi(w')) \rightarrow M'$. Put

$$\zeta := \xi(w') + (m_1, n_1). \quad (21)$$

Applying the result of §8 to (20), for a finite surjective morphism $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$, we obtain an isogeny

$$\rho : M(\zeta)_R \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \quad (22)$$

with $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (M_1)_R$ and $\theta : \mathcal{M}/I_R \mathcal{M} \simeq Z_R$ satisfying the commutative diagrams (9) and (10).

Lemma 9.3. *We have $\zeta = \xi(w)$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} has Newton polygon ζ and p -kernel type w . Hence we have $\zeta \prec \xi(w)$ by the definition of $\xi(w)$. Let w'_0 be the type of $X'_0[p]$. Since $w'_0 \subset w'$, we have $\xi(w'_0) \prec \xi(w')$ by Corollary 6.2. Note the Newton polygon $\xi(w)$ of X is equal to $\xi(w'_0) + (m_1, n_1)$. This is less than or equal to $\xi(w') + (m_1, n_1) = \zeta$. \square

It remains to show

Lemma 9.4. ρ is not constant.

Proof. Applying Lemma 9.2 to $T := \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \Sigma$, we have that f_R is not constant up to $\text{Aut}(Z_R)$. It follows from the diagram (10) that $\bar{\phi}$ is non-constant; hence so is ϕ . Then we have the lemma by the diagram (9). \square

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [2] T. Ekedahl and G. van der Geer: *Cycle classes of the E-O stratification on the moduli of abelian varieties*. To appear in: Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry Volume I: In Honor of Y. I. Manin Series: Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 269 Tschinkel, Yuri; Zarhin, Yuri G. (Eds.) 2008.
- [3] S. Harashita: *Ekedahl-Oort strata and the first Newton slope strata*. J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 171–199.
- [4] S. Harashita: *Successive extensions of minimal p -divisible groups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 1823–1834.
- [5] S. Harashita: *Configuration of the central streams in the moduli of abelian varieties*. To appear in the Asian Journal of Mathematics.
See <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~harasita/papers.html>
- [6] S. Harashita: *Generic Newton polygons of Ekedahl-Oort strata: Oort’s conjecture*. Accepted for publication in Annales de l’Institut Fourier.
See <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~harasita/papers.html>
- [7] N. M. Katz: *Slope filtration of F -crystals*. Géom. Alg. Rennes, Vol. I, Astérisque **63** (1979), Soc. Math. France, pp.113–164.
- [8] H. Kraft: *Kommutative algebraische p -Gruppen (mit Anwendungen auf p -divisible Gruppen und abelsche Varietäten)*. Sonderforschungsbereich. Bonn, September 1975. Ms. 86 pp.
- [9] E. Lau: *Displays and formal p -divisible groups*. Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 617–628.
- [10] Yu. I. Manin, *Theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk **18** no. 6 (114) (1963), pp. 3–90.
- [11] B. Moonen: *Group schemes with additional structures and Weyl group cosets*. In: Moduli of abelian varieties (Ed. C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort), Progr. Math., **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001; pp. 255–298.
- [12] B. Moonen and T. Wedhorn: *Discrete invariants of varieties in positive characteristic*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. **72**, 3855–3903.
- [13] F. Oort: *Simple p -kernels of p -divisible groups*. Adv. Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 275–310.
- [14] F. Oort: *Minimal p -divisible groups*. Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 2, 1021–1036.
- [15] F. Oort: *Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 2, 267–296.
- [16] F. Oort: *A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties*. Progress in Mathematics, **195**, pp. 345–416, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [17] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink: *Period spaces for p -divisible groups*. Annals of Mathematics Studies **141** (1996), Princeton University Press.

- [18] A. Vasiu: *Level m stratifications of versal deformations of p -divisible groups*. J. Algebraic Geom. **17** (2008), no. 4, 599–641.
- [19] A. Vasiu: *Reconstructing p -divisible groups from their truncations of small level*. To appear in Comment. Math. Helv.
- [20] E. Viehmann: *Truncations of level 1 of elements in the loop group of a reductive group*. Preprint. To appear in arXiv.
- [21] T. Wedhorn: *Specialization of F -zips*. Preprint. arXiv: 0507175v1
- [22] Th. Zink: *The display of a formal p -divisible group*. Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques, I. Astérisque No. **278** (2002), 127–248.

Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, The University of Tokyo,
5-1-5 Kashiwanoha Kashiwa-shi Chiba 277-8582 Japan.
E-mail address: `harasita at ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`